

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/634,539 08/04/2003		08/04/2003	Koji Tokimatsu	F-7917	6047
28107	7590	06/17/2005		EXAMINER	
		MBURG LLP	LOBO, IAN J		
122 EAST 42ND STREET SUITE 4000				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
NEW YORK, NY 10168				3662	

DATE MAILED: 06/17/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action							
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief							

Application No.	Applicant(s)	-
10/634,539	TOKIMATSU ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Ian J. Lobo	3662	

	, 4, 55 ,,545		•			
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit				
	lan J. Lobo	3662				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress			
THE REPLY FILED <u>02 June 2005</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS API	PLICATION IN CONDITION FOR A	ALLOWANCE.				
The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:						
a) \square The period for reply expires <u>6</u> months from the mailing date of	f the final rejection.					
The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.						
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b) MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f		RST REPLY WAS FILE	O WITHIN TWO			
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on peen filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension a CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened stabove, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three montherarned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	and the corresponding amount of the fee. atutory period for reply originally set in the	The appropriate extension final Office action; or (2)	n fee under 37 as set forth in (b)			
NOTICE OF APPEAL						
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on <u>02 June 2005</u> . A brief the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any repl AMENDMENTS	or any extension thereof (37 CFR	41.37(e)), to avoid di	smissal of the			
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	but prior to the date of filing a brie	f. will not be entered	because			
(a) They raise new issues that would require further co						
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below	•					
(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or	tter form for appeal by materially re	educing or simplifying	the issues for			
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally re	jected claims.				
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1	l16 and 41.33(a)).					
1. 🔲 The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.		ompliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).			
5. $igstyle igstyle igytyle igstyle igytyle igyt$						
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a the non-allowable claim(s). 	•	•	_			
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proposed. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:		ill be entered and an	explanation of			
Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-8</u> .						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			4			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, b because applicant failed to provide a showing of good ar and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 						
The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a			
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the control of the contr	on of the status of the claims after o	entry is below or attac	ched.			
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered by	ut does NOT place the application i	in condition for allowa	ince because:			
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper	No(s)				
13. Other:	• •					
	<i>></i>	Tan J. Lobo				

Primary Examiner Art Unit: 3662

Continuation of 3. NOTE: "horizontal oscillation data and/or vertical oscillation data" is a new issue.